### [ 57 ]

The second rock struck by Moses is, I think, 43 feet long, 16 broad, 13 high; it has two cracks, oblique ones; in them are some mouths, like those of Meribah: it is of a hard stone, not granite or marble.

I have the exact dimensions and elevation of the second stone, as well as of Meribah.

IX. A Discovery, with Observations, of two new Comets in the Marine Obvatory at Paris; by M. Messier, F. R. S. and Member of the Academy of Sciences at Paris; translated from the French, by M. Maty, M. D. Sec. R. S.

Read March 20, April 24, I with and March 1766, the flky having been clear the whole day, I had a mind to make use of this fine weather to look for the satellite of Venus, which for some years has been talked of. I employed, for this purpose, an excellent Gregorian telescope, of 30 inches focus; the great speculum of which, being six inches diameter, magnified objects about 109 times. I could discover nothing with this instrument, the planet appearing only surrounded with small telescopic stars. I likewise made use of a very good achromatic telescope of 5 feet, constructed at Paris, and belonging to the Pr. of S. with which I discovered at about 7 o'clock, and at some distance of Venus, a nebulosity of a small extent, Vol. LVI.

with a luminous center. The time did not permit me that day to assure myself, whether it was a comet or a nebulous star. I was only able, before its setting, to take its position, by comparing it with a star of the 4th magnitude, and to defer to the next day the compleating of this discovery. This very night, after the observation, I looked over my copy of the celestial maps of Mr. Flamstead, upon which I have delineated all the nebulous stars, which I have discovered for fome years, and found one in that part of the heaven, which I began to see August 25, 1764. My description is thus entered in my journal: "I applied myself to "the discovery of nebulous stars, the night between " the 25th and 26th of August 1764, and I discover-"ed one near the star a of the great triangle, which "I compared with that star, in order to obtain its se position. This nebulous star is a whitish spot " 15 minutes in diameter; the light nearly uniform, "tho' fomewhat brighter on the right fide; it is feen with difficulty by a common refracting telescope of "one foot." Imagining, that what I had then been looking at was this nebulous star, I lost all hopes for the next day. Being, however, impatient to observe the sky, I found in the evening, that this nebulous star had altered its position, being got nearer to the ftar, with which I had compared it the day before, and which I then found to be the starn of the knot of Pisces of the 4th magnitude. I determined the position of the comet with respect to this star, with all the care imaginable, by means of a Newtonian telescope of 4 feet in length, furnished with a silken-threaded micrometer. This is the table of my observations.

6

# [ 59 ]

A TABLE of the Places of the first Comet of 1766, observed during Part of the Month of March, deduced from the Determination of its Position, with respect to certain Stars.

1766.	<b>i</b> ti	r <b>.T</b> i	m.	Ri	g. 1	Aſc.	De	c. N	or	Stars with which the Comet
•	Ī				bser			bferv		was compared.
	h	,	11,	•	,	"	۰	7	"	
Mar.8	7	34	22	17	39	46	14	58	11	Com. comp. with a Pisc.
9	6	55		18	42	46	14	34	40	with the lame
-	7	35	28					35		
	7	47	8		45		14		4	
10	•		52			)/C		10		
10						0.1	- 4	70	27	with the same
	7	0				31	14	10	37	with the fame
	7	9			45		14		2	
				19	47		14			with the same
11	6	58	47		44			46	35	— with the fame
	7	21	37	20	45	31	13	46	10	with the same
	7	27	0	20	45	36	13	46	24	Com. with Flam. 31 of Pisc.
	7	35	21	20	46	6	13	46	13	with the same
12	6	<b>5</b> 6	28	2 T	20					with the fame
• •						13			48	with the same
	7	. 5								
	7	20						23		
	7	41	40	2 I	42	10	13	22	51	with n Pisc.
	7	51	26	2:I	44	51	13	23	58	with 101 Pifc.
13	6	49	46	22	31	21	13	I	46	with the fame
_	7	34	17	22	32	1	13	0	19	———— with n Pisc.
	7	54				I			18	with the fame
14	6			2.3		16		39	40	with Flam. 104 Pisc.
~7.	7	25	16	_	22			38	46	
	1	_				4	7.0	28		with the same
﴾ آف	7		44		25	- 3	- 0	38	33	
1,5		6		24	5 8	54	1.2			
	7		16,	24		5 I		17	27	———— with the fame
	7	58	57	24	9	21	12	17	7	with the same

# [ 60 ]

TABLE of the Politions of the Stars, with which the Comet was compared, reduced to the time of the Observations.

Rig. Asc. Dec. Nor.

19 45 1 14 8 0 n of the knot Pisc. Comet comp. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, & 14.

20 49 6 13 28 30 101 Pisc. of Flamstead Com. comp. the 11, 12, 13, & 15.

21 41 24 13 6 30 104 of Pisc. Comet compared the 14.

From these Observations, Mr. Pingré has computed the Elements of the Orbit of this Comet, as follows.

Place of the ascending node a — 8 4 10 50
Inclination of the orbit — 40 50 20
Place of the perihelium — 4 23 15 25
Logarithm of the perihelion dist. 9.70 35 70

The comet pass'd its perihelion, the 17th of February, at 8h 50', mean time at the meridian of Paris.

The motion of the comet retrograde.

Observations of the second Comet of 1766, discovered at the Marine Observatory at Paris, one month after the former, viz. the 8th of April. By Mr. Messier, &c.

The 8th of April, the sky having cleared up after many days of cloudy weather; on the evening at 8 o'clock, being gone to the Marine Observatory, to observe some transits of stars on the meridian, and looking on the heavens towards the west, I discovered, by my naked eye, near the horizon, and at a little distance from the Pleiades, a comet, which already appeared considerable; the tail was about 4 degrees in length, the light lively, the nucleus very bright and equally luminous with stars of the 3d magnitude. The comet was at a small distance from the brightest star of the constellation of musca, which Flamstead, in the second

### [ 61 ]

second edition of his catalogue, makes of the 3d magnitude. I several times compared the nucleus of this comet with this star, to deduce its position. The next day, being the 9th, the fky appearing perfectly serene without a moon, I began to see the comet a few minutes before 8 o'clock. During one hour, it was distinctly seen with the naked eye, the tail was 6° or 7° in length, the nucleus very bright. I measured its diameter, by comparing it with the thickness of one of the threads of the micrometer of my instrument, and found it about 36" of a degree. On the 10th, the sky being equally bright, I saw the comet fome minutes before 8 o'clock, and between 8 and 9 it was feen with the naked eye, with a longer tail than yesterday, but not so distinct; the nucleus had also lost part of its brightness. On the 11th, the sky being clear as the preceding days, the comet could only with difficulty be seen with the naked eye; its appearances were much less distinct than the day before, both on account of the vapors of the horizon where it was, and of the twilight which was confiderable, and still more so by the light of the moon, which, as well as the two preceding days, was in the part of the heavens, where the comet appeared. On the 12th, the comet could no longer be feen with the naked Through the telescope it appeared very faint, the tail not exceeding now one degree and a half. certainly became thus invisible, as well as the nucleus, through the too great power of the twilight. 13th, the sky was serene, with some clouds towards the horizon; I looked for the comet, but could not find it, so that the evening of the 12th was the period of its visibility.

TABLE

#### TABLE of the Observations of the Comet.

1766.	tr.	Ti	m.	Rig	ht A	lfc.	De	c.N	or.	Stars with which the Comet was
				ob	le ri	r.	ob	<i>ferv</i>		compared.
	h	/	"	0	,	"	٥	,	"	1
Apr. 8		33	54		29	56	25	12	16	Com. com. with the Star 41 of Aries, according to Flam according
	8	<b>5</b> 7	40	39	25	41	25	1.1	23	Com. com. with the same
	9	6	43	39	24	41	25	10	22	with the fame
9	8	7	7	37	59	26	24	26	5	with 33 of Aries
	8	7	7	37	59	41	ŀ		_	with new Star of 7 Mag.
	8	21	40	37	59	18	24	25	5	with 33 of Aries
	8	2.1	40	37	59	41	•	•	•	with new Star of 7 Mag.
	8	33	4	37	<b>5</b> 8		24	24	20	with 33 of Aries
	8	33	4	37	58	41		-:		with new Star of 7 Mag.
	8	44	46		58		24	25	3	1
	8	44	46		58		24	24		
10	_		•	37		-			32	
•	8	4 16	_	36	31	_	23	36	46	1
				36	30	•	23	36	2	0
	8	16		36	30	41	, –	36	45	
	8	10	35	36	30	56	23	36	49	
	8	36	26	36	29	11		36	I	with new Star of 7 Mag.
	8	36	26	36	28	56	23	35	31	with the Star of 6 Mag.
11	8	6	57	34	57		22	33	38	8 with α of Aries
12	7	39	5	33	29		21	39	16	
	8	2		33	- 4	46	1	39		I with the same

TABLE of the Positions of the Stars, with which the Comet was compared, reduced to the Time of the Observations.

Rig	ht A	lfc.	Dec	. N	or.	Mag.	
39 38 37 36 35 38	35 46 51	26 34	24 26 23	40 2 46	51 0	7 5	41 of γ accor, to Flam, ded, fr. A. de la Caille's Cat. It is only men. in Flam. Select M. I deter. its Posits New. I determined its Position 33 of γ accor, to Flam. I determined its Position 30 of γ accor, to Flam. I determined its Position α of γ deduced from Abb. de la Caille's Catalogue

### [63]

From my observations, M. Pingré has calculated the elements of this Comet as follows;

	s	0	. /	//
Place of the ascending node	I	17	22	19
Inclination of the orbit		8	18	45
Place of the perihelion	6	26		
Perihelion diffance				825
Logarithm of the perihelion distance		9,8	304	020

It passed the perihelion April 17, 0h 26' 13" mean time at the meridian of Paris.

The motion of the Comet direct.

By these elements M. Pingré judged that this Comet might be seen again in the morning, after getting clear of the sun's rays; and the following is an Ephemeris he computed for finding it in the months of May and June.

### [ 64 ]

An Ephemeris of the second Comet of 1766, for the months of May and June, at 16<sup>h</sup> mean time at the meridian of Greenwich.

Con	n. l	Co	me	net's   Con			Co	m.	Co	m.		Comet's
									Dec	. S.		Dift. fr.
									1.		Durca.	oun.
1	Í		0	′	°	. /	i	′	10	′		
	52	Ψ	5	2	3	43	6	3	I	23	0,437	0,709
			3	0	4	37	4	<b>3</b> 7	3	3	0,455	0,729
, -			I	19	5		3			28	0,473	0,760
15	32	<b>¥</b> 2	9	54	6	10	2	23	5	42	0,492	0,773
	.6		0		6			20	6	48	0.510	0.707
						28	1			46	0.510	0.821
, –	•				8			36	8	28	0.546	0.847
15	8				R				1			
13	_			_3		32	359	4/	9	-4		
15	2	2	5	24	9	1	359	23	10	6	0,581	0,901
	- 1					28	359					
			4	18		54	358		11			
14 4		2	-		10	18	358		11	53	0.628	0,986
	-											
			3	22	10					25	0,642	1,010
14 2										50	0,050	1,040
	- 1			- 1						20	0 009	1,070
14	5	2	2		_						0 082	1,105
				-	June	5	356	48	15			
				١								
				1		75	354	57	17	24		
				į			250	i	, Q	27		
						20	353					
									-			
	rife h 15 15 15 15 15 15 14 14 14 14 14	rifes.  h  15 52  15 45  15 39  15 26  15 20  15 14  15 8  14 55  14 49  14 42  14 35  14 29  14 22	rifes. Long rifes.	rifes. Long h / 0 15 52 \ \tau 5 15 45 3 15 39 1 15 32 \ \tau 29 15 26 28 15 20 27 15 14 26 15 8 26 15 2 25 14 55 24 14 49 24 14 42 23 14 29 22 14 22 22	rifes. Long.  h	rifes. Long. La h	rifes. Long. Lat. S.  h	rifes. Long. Lat. S. R.  h	rifes. Long. Lat. S. R. Af.  h	rifes. Long. Lat. S. R. Af. Dec h , o , o , o , o , o , o , o , o , o ,	rifes. Long. Lat. S. R. Af. Dec. S.  h	rifes. Long. Lat. S. R. Af. Dec. S. Biff. fr. Earth.    15 52 9 5 2 3 43 6 3 1 23 0,437

In the months of May and June, I fought for the comet in the morning, when the sky was serene, in the places indicated in this ephemeris, without being able

# [ 65 ]

able to discover it: the twilight, which was considerable at the time of the comet's rising, might hinder my seeing it, and I have not yet learned that it was seen any were else.

Mr. Pingre's remarks on the two comets of this year.

The elements of the first comet I give as absolutely certain, those of the second I cannot be so sure of. The interval was only four days between the first and the last observation. The two last days, and especially the last, the twilight and the moon light must have produced some uncertainty in the observation. ephemeris is founded upon the certainty of the elements. Supposing there were no more than three or four minutes error in the two last observations, this would not much alter the theory from what I have given; but an alteration in the elements would produce two or three degrees difference in the place of the perihelion, which might be fufficient to render the reapparition of the comet uncertain in these high Some German observations sent to Mr. Messier, made in the beginning of April, induce me to conclude, that the place of the perihelion ought really to be placed a little more eastward; but these observations were sent in so confused a manner, that it feems impossible to obtain the least light from them. Perhaps we may hereafter receive from some southern parts, observations sufficient to make out the true orbit of this comet.